EXHIBIT D

Case 1:18-cv-02710-EK-LB Document 163 Filed 03/18/21 Page 1 of 22 PageID #: 1923

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

: 18-cv-02710-EK-LB CAPOGROSSO,

Plaintiff,

: U.S. Courthouse - versus -

: Brooklyn, New York

GELBSTEIN, et al., : March 16, 20 Defendants : 11:01 AM : March 16, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR TELEPHONE CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE LOIS BLOOM UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

PPEARANCES:

Mario H. Capogrosso, pro se For the Plaintiff:

21 Sheldrake Place

New Rochelle, NY 10804

James M. Thompson, Esq. For the Defendants:

Office of the New York State

Attorney General 28 Liberty Street New York, NY 10005

David Smart, pro se For Defendant Smart:

2355 Batchelder St.

Apartment 3E

Brooklyn, NY 11229

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc. Transcription Service:

61 Beatrice Avenue

laferrara44@gmail.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

Case 1:18-cv-02710-EK-LB Document 163 Filed 03/18/21 Page 2 of 22 PageID #: 1924

```
2
                           Proceedings
             THE CLERK: Civil Cause for Telephone Status
1
   Conference, docket number 18-cv-2710, Capogrosso v.
   Gelbstein, et al.
             Will the parties please state your names for
4
5
   the record?
              MR. CAPOGROSSO: Mario Capogrosso, plaintiff,
б
   21 Sheldrake Place, New Rochelle, NY 10804.
7
             MR. THOMPSON:
                             Good morning.
8
              James Thompson from the Office of the New York
9
   State Attorney General for the state defendants and I'm
10
   joined by Barbara Montina (ph.) who is in-house counsel
11
   for DMV.
12
              MR. SMART: Yeah, my name is Mr. David Smart.
13
    I'm here on my behalf.
14
              THE CLERK: The Honorable Lois Bloom presiding.
15
              THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Capogrosso, Mr.
16
    Thompson, Ms. Montina and Mr. Smart.
17
              This is a telephone status conference in
18
    plaintiff's civil rights action. I last spoke with the
19
    parties on May 5th, 2020.
20
    this matter and Mr. Capogrosso, you wrote to the Court
22
    and stated that you had served interrogatories on Mr.
23
    Smart before the discovery deadline. However, Mr. Smart
24
    naver responded.
```

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Proceedings And I directed you to provide the interrogatories to the Court to review and I informed the parties that this would be the one exception to the discovery deadline. That's in ECF entry number 148. I reviewed your interrogatories and I directed Mr. Smart to respond to the interrogatories and I extended his deadline to do so. That's ECF entry number 154. When Mr. Smart did not respond, Mr. Capogrosso moved for a default junction and sanctions against Mr. That's ECF 157. Smart. As Mr. Smart is like Mr. Capogrosso, proceeding pro se, although Mr. Smart is not an attorney like Mr. Capogrosso, I denied the request for default judgment and sanctions and set today's telephone conference to get Mr. Smart to answer the interrogatories orally on the record. That's ECF entry number 159. Mr. Smart has since informed the Court by letter, that he is receiving assistance from the City Bar Justice Center Pro Se project and that he is drafted back in February and he said that they were going to be served.

Mr. Capogrosso, have you gotten Mr. Smart's

responses to the interrogatories?

Case 1:18-cv-02710-EK-LB Document 163 Filed 03/18/21 Page 4 of 22 PageID #: 1926

1.5

Proceedings

MR. CAPOGROSSO: I did receive a document. It is not signed, Judge, and that was one of the comments I wanted to make. It's not signed by defendant Smart. So I don't know who wrote it and whether it's relevant or material. It is also dated after the date that you required defendant Smart to respond by. It's dated February 24th. You required him to respond by February 12th.

There's also -- it replies with the words

"information and belief" and according to -- Black's

Dictionary indicates information and belief is

information not made on firsthand knowledge but based on secondhand knowledge.

THE COURT: So again, as far as the timeliness, Mr. Smart's letter which is ECF 59, requested an extension of time nunc pro tunc to serve his responses and I granted that request at ECF number 162. And I kept today's conference as scheduled to ensure that you have responses and if you're saying that the responses sent were not signed, I'm sure that's an oversight but in the record as I intended with Mr. Smart.

Mr. Smart?

MR. SMART: Yeah.

THE COURT: Are you willing today to answer

```
Proceedings
```

```
some of these questions on the record, so that we could
   get this matter completed?
             MR. SMART:
                          Yeah.
                          Okay, sir. So Mr. Smart, I am
              THE COURT:
4
   going to ask you to raise your right hand, please.
5
              MR. SMART:
                          Yeah.
б
   DAVID SMART,
7
        having been first duly sworn, was examined and
8
        testified as follows:
9
              THE COURT: And can you state your full name,
10
11
   sir?
              MR. SMART: Yeah, my name is David Smart.
12
                                   Smart, were you employed
              THE COURT: And Mr.
13
   at the Brooklyn South Traffic Violations Bureau located
14
   at 2875 West 8th Street in Brooklyn, New York, sir?
15
              MR. SMART: Yeah, 'I was once employed there but.
16
    I am no longer there.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. So that answers question 1
18
           What was your job when you were working there,
19
    and 2.
    sir?
20
                           E was a security yeard.
22 3.
                          And who was your employer?
                                                       Who
              THE COURT:
22
    paid your wages when you were employed there?
23
              MR. SMART: Yeah, the -- I started with a
24
    company called PEC, started -- I started on 2/7 to '16,
25
```

6 Proceedings 2016. I was there the 2015 when this (indiscernible) 1 occurred with PEC. And then I resumed -- when PEC left, I resumed working with Explorer (ph.) from 2/20 to --2/2016 to October 8, 2020. THE COURT: So you're not currently working at 5 Brooklyn South Traffic Violations Bureau; is that 6 correct, sir? 7 MR. SMART: No, yes, it's correct because I 8 left there since October 20, 2020 -- October 8th, sorry, 9 October 8th, 2020. 10 THE COURT: So October 8th of 2020, you stopped 11 working at the Brooklyn South Traffic Violations? 12 MR. SMART: Yeah, yeah, I was working for 13 Explorer at the -- at that time. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And from -- I think you said 15 2016 until 2020, you were working at the Brooklyn South 16 Traffic Violations Bureau; is that correct? 17 MR. SMART: Yeah, with Explorer Company, a 18 different company, not PEC. PEC left and we were -- they 19 were replaced by Explorer, a security company located on 20 the 151st Street -- 60 51st Street in Manhattan. z_1 THE COURT: So you're basically saying there 22 were different names of the employer because different 23 security firms got the contract but you were there from 24 2015 until 2020; is that --25

Proceedings

MR. SMART: Yeah, yes, yes. It's how we did -- we had -- we had about five -- five different security companies, replacing one another.

THE COURT: Okay. So now I am going to ask you the question that Mr. Capogrosso wrote at number 5, this is on document 149-1. He asked, who told you to approach Mario Capogrosso on the morning of May 11th, 2015?

MR. SMART: Nobody -- nobody told me anything.

He was -- he was -- he -- he -- he came in. I was really

by the door, he came in -- he came in aggressively,

raised his hand up and went to the line and then

continuously looking at me, you know?

so I am looking and looking, we were looking at each other, and so -- and I say -- I say, wait a minute, let me do -- what's going on here? So I went -- I said oh, why are you looking at me? You see, I'm the one that looking at him. I said you are looking at me so like you want to start something. He say I was -- I was the one that was looking at him.

You know, all of the sudden -- all of the sudden, I was snocked though because I was knowing -- I was knowing for a long time. I was shocked. He just punched me in the chest and I had to hold onto the rail. I had to hold onto the rail and then some police officer came, everybody came around. Then they say hey, you ave

Proceedings

to do something about this guy. So I -- I say no, I'm going to go and -- Danielle (ph.) came to me and said what happened. I said, my -- my response was say well go 61 on him, so I went to 6-0, I got 6-1 on him and two police officers came with me, not too long, they came with me. Then they wanted to talk to him and he was nowhere around. They waited for quite a while and trying. to be -- they look around. I checked -- they checked the restroom. They checked many other places. He wasn't anywhere on the ground. He was gone.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SMART: And then they told me that the only thing I can do, I can contact the One Police Plaza. I went back to the 6-0 and they gave me a form to fill out and I sent out the -- the -- to One Police Plaza and One Police Plaza sent me a response that -- they -- they going to have a conversation with him or I was going to say, or they're going to send me a letter and the rest was history.

THE COURT: Mr. Smart, I'm going to ask you question number 6 which is similar to question number 5.

Did defendant Alan Gelbstein, defendant Ida Trachin, defendant Danielle Calvo and/or Boshra Vahdat, tell you to approach Mr. Capogrosso on the morning of May 11th, 2015?

9 Proceedings 1 MR. SMART: No way. No way. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 3 MR. SMART: They were not even -- they were not 4 even near. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, sir. Thank So I have gotten Mr. Smart to answer the 6 interrogatories under oath. I will get a copy of this 8 conference transcript made part of the record and that in 9 my mind, Mr. Capogrosso, has eliminated this issue which 10 has caused some consternation and I do know that Judge Komitee has set a scheduled for the defendant's summary 11 12 judgment motion which means that they're going to serve 1.3 you with their motion on March 31st of 2021 and you have 1.4 until April 30th of 2021 to serve your opposition and 15 that they will reply and both sides should file their 16 portions of the motion on May 10th, 2021. 17 Let me just mention, Mr. Thompson, that there 18 is a special notice provision under 56.2 of the Local 19 Rules which although Mr. Capogrosso is an attorney and he is not entitled to the special solicitude that pro se 20 21 litigants are entitled to, it may be smart for you to 22 just to include the notice, so that for any determination 23 to be made, you've gone above and beyond what your duty is and provided him special notice of how to oppose a 24

25

motion for summary judgment.

Proceedings

1 And Mr. Capogrosso, because you are an 2 attorney, you are expected to look at Local Rule 56.1 and 3 to file a counter statement to whatever 56.1 statement is 4 filed by the defendants. 5 Mr. Smart, I know that you're still working with the City Bar and that they were looking for limited 6 7 scope pro bono counsel for the purpose of moving on your 8 behalf for summary judgment. Is that correct, sir? 9 MR. SMART: Yeah, I was called yesterday by Kat 10 Ataka (ph.) and they say that she is looking for one to represent me. 12 THE COURT: Okay. MR. SMART: And she is going to let me know as 14 soon as possible. So you please stay in touch, so THE COURT: that when the motion is made to Judge Komitee, he'll have everybody's motion at the same time, okay? MR. SMART: Yeah. THE COURT: Mr. Capogrosso, do you have any questions for the Court before we adjourn? MR. CAPOGROSSO: I do. I do. THE COURT: Yes. MR. CAPOGROSSO: I do and I will keep it short because I will waste the Court's time and I don't want to do that but I do have several questions. Number one,

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 Proceedings 1 will I be getting a copy of this deposition, your Honor? 2 THE COURT: Are you going to get a copy of the 3 transcript of today's --4 MR. CAPOGROSSO: Of the transcript --5 THE COURT: -- conference? 6 MR. CAPOGROSSO: -- yes. 7 THE COURT: Yes, you are sir. 8 MR. CAPOGROSSO: Yes, thank you. 9 May I ask Attorney Thompson concerning my 10 deposition which I have not received yet and I've sent 11 him a copy of mine. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Thompson? 13 MR. CAPOGROSSO: And when am I going to get it? 14 THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, can you get Mr. 15 Capogrosso a copy of his deposition transcript please? 16 MR. THOMPSON: Certainly, we can do that. 17 MR. CAPOGROSSO: When am I going to get it and is it going to be a hard copy or an electronic file? I 18 19 sent you a hard copy. 20 MR. THOMPSON: If you would like, I can email 21 you a digital copy this afternoon. 22 MR. CAPOGROSSO: I don't want a digital copy, I 23 want a hard copy. I sent you a hard copy. I would like 24 a hard copy (indiscernible). 25 THE COURT: Sir, sir, sir?

Proceedings

MR. CAPOGROSSO: Yes.

1.4

THE COURT: Nobody is in their office, so if what you're asking for him to print it in a printer and put it in the mail to you, it's going to take longer.

Don't you have a printer at your home where he could email it to you and you could print it out for yourself?

MR. CAPOGROSSO: Judge, I would like it the way I sent it to Attorney Thompson. That's how I would like it, hard copy.

THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, is there a way that you could get it printed in your office and sent to Mr. Capogrosso?

MR. THOMPSON: We could but what I would ask in return, it's much more useful for us to have copies of transcripts in digital formats because when he sends things to us in hard copy, we have to have someone go through page-by-page and scan it.

So what I would say is if we're going to print out a hard copy for him, I would request a digital copy of the transcripts he's taken.

MR. CAPOGROSSO: That's fine. That's fine. That's fine.

THE COURT: So Mr. Capogrosso --

MR. CAPOGROSSO: That's fine.

THE COURT: -- they will print out a copy for

13 Proceedings 1 you because that's your preference and he said could you 2 please email him --3 MR. CAPOGROSSO: Absolutely. 4 THE COURT: -- a digital copy of the 5 depositions that you took, okay? MR. CAPOGROSSO: Well, normally the court 6 reporter prints out a hard copy. I've done this for a 8 while. A hard copy, they do, they send you a hard copy 9 of (indiscernible). So that's fine. We'll make a hard 10 copy and as soon as I get a hard copy, I'll send you a 11 digital copy. 12 In terms of the deposition, Judge, this is the 13 Court's deposition, am I allowed to (indiscernible) any 14 question? 1.5 THE COURT: No. 16 MR. CAPOGROSSO: I am not. 17 THE COURT: You're done. You're done. 18 MR. CAPOGROSSO: All right. Okay. 19 (Indiscernible). 20 THE COURT: Was there anything else today, Mr. 21 Capogrosso before we adjourn? 22 MR. CAPOGROSSO: When will I get the hard copy, 23 Attorney Thompson? 24 MR. THOMPSON: I -- let me check and see. 25 will try to get it in the mail for you by the end of the

Proceedings

1 | wee, does that work?

2 MR. CAPOGROSSO: That's fine. That will be 3 great.

THE COURT: And likewise, I'll get the court reporter's to transcribe today's conference and I will endeavor to get it to you by the end of next week, Mr. Capogrosso.

MR. CAPOGROSSO: All right. I'm not wanting to waste the Court's time but I have one more comment that I would like to ask Attorney Thompson and as well as the attorney for the DMV. This was brought up at the deposition of defendant Gelbstein. May I ask Attorney Thompson?

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Capogrosso.

MR. CAPOGROSSO: Fine. At the attorney of Attorney Gelbstein, you testified that there were no ticket brokers in his office. Defendant Calvo indicated he saw ticket brokers in his office.

THE COURT: I'm having a difficult time, Mr. Capogrosso, understanding --

MR. CAPOGROSSO: Fine.

THE COURT: -- what you're saying. I don't know if it's the line or you're speaking not into the phone but please, you are asking Mr. Thompson a question about Gelbstein's deposition, so what is the question?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

15

MR. CAPOGROSSO: Yes. The question is at his deposition, I'm speaking into the phone now, he indicated that there were no ticket brokers in his office, Jewish ticket brokers in his office. Defendant Calvo indicated that there were. She saw them. I saw them. I was there ten years. He indicated that he was not pleading motorists quilty on a sidebar with Judge Bloomstein. Ι indicated I saw that. He indicated that he might have -might have --THE COURT: What is the question that you have, Mr. Capogrosso? MR. CAPOGROSSO: The question is is this, your Honor. Your Honor, the question is this. These are allegations of wrongdoing on behalf of -- by Defendant Gelbstein by myself on behalf -- by defendant Gelbstein by myself. Has the Attorney General's office opened up an investigation concerning these allegations of wrongdoing with respect to defendant Gelbstein? THE COURT: Mr. Capogrosso, sir, with all due respect --MR. CAPOGROSSO: Yes. THE COURT: -- you are an attorney. If you want to ask a FOIA request of the State regarding any investigation, you could do that but Mr. Thompson is not going to have to answer your questions today and again,

Proceedings

as far as the summary judgment goes, if there are inconsistencies in the testimony of the witnesses, you could use that for whatever purpose is, you could use for whatever purpose you believe will help you sustain your burden as the plaintiff in this civil rights action but that's not the purpose of today's call.

So I am not going to have Mr. Thompson have to answer whether there's an investigation into these allegations. You say there was testimony that contradicted Gelbstein's testimony. You can point that out in your papers to the Court and make your best argument but that is not for Mr. Thompson today and if you want to file a FOIL request with the State to find out if there has been an investigation, you're an attorney, sir, you're free to do that.

MR. CAPOGROSSO: I understand that.

THE COURT: Okay?

MR. CAPOGROSSO: One more -- I don't want to waste the Court's time, I'll take one more minute.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. CAPOGROSSO: With respect to your questioning of defendant Smart, now I would ask that a more precise question because part of the question was who told defendant Smart -- who told you to approach me, approach, the word was approach.

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

17 Proceedings 1 THE COURT: He said no one did. MR. CAPOGROSSO: Now defendant Smart --2 3 THE COURT: He said no one did. And he clearly talked about that you walked in, were staring at him, he 5 was staring at you, because you were staring at him and that's why he approached you. That's what his sworn 6 7 testimony was. MR. CAPOGROSSO: He didn't say that he 8 9 approached me. 10 THE COURT: Yes, he did. MR. CAPOGROSSO: That's the question I want 11 12 more precise answer. He never said he approached me. THE COURT: He said he approached you. He said 13 14 he approached you --MR. CAPOGROSSO: All right. I'll look at the 15 deposition. 16 17 THE COURT: . -- for staring at him. MR. CAPOGROSSO: I'll look at the transcript. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. MR. CAPOGROSSO: All right. Fine. Thank you, 20 21 Judge. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Mr. Thompson before we adjourn today? 23 24 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, your Honor, one quick matter and it may be for Judge Komitee. I noticed in Mr. 25

Proceedings 18

Smart's submission that he's contemplating filing his own motion for summary judgment which would presumably go on a different and alter timetable than ours.

THE COURT: Hopefully not, hopefully not.

Hopefully, whoever is filing for him will be able to file something short and sweet within the time frame set by the Court and if not, they'll make the application to Judge Komitee.

MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Our request was just that we, you know -- our time table be harmonized with that one but we can see what happens then.

THE COURT: Stay with your time table unless you need an extension of time and then you should approach Mr. Capogrosso before approaching Judge Komitee.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Smart, before we adjourn here today?

MR. SMART: No, what I -- what I would like to say to Mr. Capogrosso is that I have known him -- your Honor, I have known Mario, I used to work for Alexanders and that was how the whole thing started and I was talking him and I say oh, he used to work for Alexanders also. At what part --

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. CAPOGROSSO: No, this is off the record.

MR. SMART: No, no, no this is very --

Proceedings

19

1 THE COURT: No, we're still on the record. 2 MR. SMART: This is very -- this is very 3 important. This is very important because that is where you and me became we're talking about this and then we're 5 talking about Alexanders and all those kind of stuff and 6 then -- then he also told me that he's so lonely where he 7 lives and he would like to find a place, a club or some sort. So I told him about Log Cabin, that was a club on 8 9 23rd Street and Avenue Z and -- Town Coffee (ph.) on 20 -10 - on 18th Street and Avenue Z. And we went on -- that 11 went on, he carry on and you impressed me for introduce 12 you to those clubs. So you know, I am just shocked that 13 this nonsense will come to this kind of a area which I wasn't expecting and that's all I have to say because I'm 14 15 very shocked. 16 MR. CAPOGROSSO: Well, this is nonsense. 17 out of work. My career has been ruined, David, ruined. 18 I don't have to explain --19 THE COURT: Again --20 MR. CAPOGROSSO: -- (indiscernible) the things 21 that you created, (indiscernible) stopped. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Capogrosso --23 MR. CAPOGROSSO: There was all kinds of 24 nonsense. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Capogrosso, again --

Proceedings

MR. CAPOGROSSO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: -- you're litigating the case --

MR. CAPOGROSSO: I understand.

THE COURT: -- Mr. Smart just stated on the record that he's shocked. It has nothing to do with the merits of the case. He's shocked.

MR. CAPOGROSSO: Fine, Judge.

THE COURT: He's entitled to his feelings.

Is there anything else that anybody needs to address today, otherwise I am adjourning the conference. All discovery is closed.

MR. CAPOGROSSO: Okay, David.

permission from Judge Komitee to move for summary judgment and the schedule has been set by Judge Komitee and any alteration of that schedule should only be made on application to Judge Komitee after the parties have spoken about it if they need any extension of time. That works on both sides, Mr. Capogrosso. If you need more time, you need to contact Mr. Thompson. If Mr. Thompson needs more time, he needs to contact you and the Court could always grant it even if one side or the other says no.

So again, I am saying that the summary judgment schedule remains in effect. I have said to look at the

Proceedings Local Rules, Mr. Thompson, to make sure that you're complying with them, that goes for you as well, Mr. Capogrosso. They'll get you the transcript of the deposition. You'll get them the transcripts. I will get the record made from today's conference and make that transcript available to you, Mr. Capogrosso and with that, we are adjourned. MR. CAPOGROSSO: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you everybody very much. MR. SMART: Thank you, your Honor. (Matter Concluded) -000-

CERTIFICATE

I, LINDA FERRARA, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this 17th day of March 2021.

Duda Krrura Linda Ferrara

AAERT CET 656 Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.